

1906-031 Chancery Causes: Dulcinea Lady vs. William D. Lady
Lee Co.

CA-Divorce
T-Vices
Migration

To the Honorable H.A.W. Skeen, Judge of the Circuit Court of
Lee County, Virginia:

Humbly complaining your Oratrix, Dulcinea Lady, would respectfully show unto your Honor that more than twenty years ago, to-wit, on the ____ day of _____, 18____, she was married to one Wm.D. Lady, in Washington County, Tennessee; that for several years after said marriage, she and her said husband lived together as husband and wife in said Washington County, Tennessee, but during the whole of said period of time her said husband was never kind, but was abusive, unkind and cruel in his treatment of her, so much so that he finally began to make personal assaults upon your oratrix, striking her and abusing her in a very painful and cruel manner, and more than ten years ago, to-wit, on the ____ day of _____, 18____, he drove your Oratrix away from his home, and has ever since refused to live and cohabit with her in the relation that should exist between husband and wife. Your oratrix was a true and affectionate wife to her said husband, and gave him no reason for mistreating or abandoning her, and such cruel treatment and desertion and abandonment of her by her said husband was done without her consent and against her will.

Your oratrix will now show your Honor that some months after she was so driven from home and deserted and abandoned by her said husband, being unable to find employment in her home country, she came to Lee County, Virginia, where she found employment as housekeeper, and where she has ever since resided, working and providing for herself, and being in no way assisted by her said husband. Since coming to Lee County, Virginia, Oratrix has been reliably informed, and here alleges, that her said husband, the said Wm.D. Lady, has attempted to marry another woman, whose name is unknown to your Oratrix, has taken her to his home, and is now living and cohabiting with her as his wife in said Washington County, Tennessee.

Your Oratrix will now show your Honor, that she is now, and for more than nine years has been domiciled in and a resident of Lee County, Virginia, and that her said Husband, the said Wm.D. Lady is not a resident of the State of Virginia.

The prayer, therefore, of your Oratrix is, that the said Wm. D.Lady be made a party defendant to this bill, that he be required to answer the same but not under oath as an answer under oath is hereby expressly waived; that order of publication be made, posted, published and completed against the said Wm.D.Lady, who is a non-resident of the State of Virginia; and that upon a final hearing your Honor will enter a decree dissolving the bonds of matrimony now and heretofore existing between your oratrix and the said Wm. D.Lady, and that a divorce a vinculo matrimonii be granted to your oratrix; and for full and complete general relief.

And she will ever pray &c.

Duncan & Cridlin p.q.

Costs:

Clerk \$ 5.40 }
Tax 1.50 }
Atty 15.00 }
\$ 21.90

Printer

Luluina Lady

vs. { In Chg.
Wm D. Lady

— Bill —

Filed Apr. 10, 1905—

H. C. Ewing, atty.

1905 2nd April Rules

Bill filed & contd.
for O. P.

" 1st May Rules

O. P. Completed &
Case set for hearing.

1900 to 1910

Sulcinea Lady

vs -

W. L. Lady

This cause is dismissed by
the plaintiff and at her cost

Lulu in a Lady

or 5-6 Dues

H. L. Lady

Entered in C. O. B.

8, Page 200

Enter this dues

at New Street

Sept 18th 1906

In the Clerk's Office of the District Court of the County of

Lee

Sulcinea Lady

Plaintiff ,

against

Wm D Lady

Defendant .

This day Rufus Watkins personally appeared
before me, Millard E. Hanover Deputy Clerk of the said Court,
and being duly sworn, made oath that Wm D Lady the

defendant in the said suit is not resident of the State of Virginia,

Given under my hand as Clerk of the said Court, this

18th day of March 1905

M. E. Hanover D. Clerk.

vs.

}

AFFIDAVIT FOR ORDER
OF
PUBLICATION.

p. q.

In the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of the County of
Lee on the 22 day of March 1905.

Dulcinea Lady

Plaintiff

against

Wm D Lady

Defendant.

The object of this suit is to ~~dis to it~~ dissolve the
bonds of matrimony now and heretofore
existing between the plaintiff and
defendant, and to secure to the
plaintiff a divorce a vinculo matri-
monii.

And an affidavit having been made and filed that the defendant

Wm D Lady is

not resident of the State of Virginia, it is ordered that he do appear here within fifteen days

after due publication hereof, and do what may be necessary to protect his interest in this suit. And

it is further ordered that a copy hereof be published once a week for four weeks in the Jonesville

Star, and that a copy be posted at the front door of the court-house of this County

as required by law on the first day of the next term of the Court.

A copy—Teste:

Duncan & Cridlin p. q.

H. C. Ewing

Clerk.

The Commonwealth of Virginia,

To the Sheriff of the County of Lee, Greeting:

WE COMMAND YOU, That you summon Wm. D. Lady

to appear at the Clerk's office of the Circuit Court of the County of Lee, at rules to be held for the said court, on
the 3rd Monday in April, 1905, to answer a bill in chancery exhibited against
Wm. D. Lady, Defendant.

And have then there this writ. Witness, H. C. T. EWING, Clerk of our said Court, at the court-house, the 22nd
day of March, 1904; and 1 29th year of the Commonwealth.

A Copy, Teste:

H. C. T. Ewing, Clerk.

H. C. T. Ewing, Clerk.

VS

}

SUBPŒNA
IN
CHANCERY.

-----p. q

To-----Rules.

-----Court.
